

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

EULIDES SOTO, et al.

Plaintiff(s)

V.

Sherman-Francis Corp., et al.

Defendant(s)

CIVIL ACTION

NO. 04-10892-JLT

**REPORT RE: REFERENCE FOR
ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

TO JUDGE Tavro

[] The above entitled case was reported settled after referral to the ADR Program, but prior to ADR.

[x] On July 21, 2005 I held the following ADR proceeding:

☐ SCREENING CONFERENCE

☐ EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION

☒ MEDIATION (followup telephone conference)

☐ SUMMARY BENCH / JURY
TRIAL

☐ MINI-TRIAL

☐ SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

[x] All parties were represented by counsel [except _____]

[x] The parties were present in person or by authorized corporate officer

The case was:

[] Settled. A ☐ day order of dismissal has been entered by the court.

[] There was progress.

[x] Further efforts to settle this case at this time are, in my judgment, unlikely to be productive. This case should be restored to your trial list.

[] Suggested strategy to facilitate settlement:

July 21, 2005
DATE

/s/ Kenneth P. Neiman

ADR Provider

KENNETH P. NEIMAN, U.S. Magistrate Judge